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Members of the Commission:
Presented in this report is information to assist the Connecticut State Employees' Retirement System in meeting the requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68 and to identify the information to be provided by the actuary, Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting (CMC). The information is presented for the period ending June 30, 2016 (the Measurement Date).

GASB Statement No. 68 establishes accounting and financial reporting requirements for governmental employers who provide pension benefits to their employees through a trust.

The annual actuarial valuation used as a basis for much of the information presented in this report was performed as of June 30, 2016. The valuation was based on data, provided by the Retirement System staff, for active, inactive and retired members along with pertinent financial information.

The actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries according to generally accepted actuarial procedures and methods. The calculations are based on the current provisions of the System, and on actuarial assumptions that are, individually and in the aggregate, internally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System. In addition, the calculations were completed in compliance with the laws governing the System and, in our opinion, meet the requirements of GASB 68. The undersigned are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein.
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These results are only for financial reporting and may not be appropriate for funding purposes or other types of analysis. Calculations for purposes other than satisfying the requirements of GASB 67 and GASB 68 may produce significantly different results. Future actuarial results may differ significantly from the current results presented in the report due to such factors as changes in plan experience or changes in economic or demographic assumptions.

Sincerely yours,


John J. Garrett, ASA, FCA, MAAA Principal and Consulting Actuary


Edward J. Koebel
Principal and Consulting Actuary
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# REPORT OF THE ANNUAL GASB STATEMENT NO. 68 REQUIRED INFORMATION FOR THE CONNECTICUT STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM 

PREPARED AS OF JUNE 30, 2016
SECTION I - INTRODUCTION
The Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 68 (GASB 68), "Accounting and Financial Reporting For Pensions" in June 2012. This report, prepared as of June 30, 2016 (the Measurement Date), presents information to assist the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System (SERS) in meeting the requirements of GASB 68 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017 (Reporting Date). Much of the material provided in this report is based on the data, assumptions, and results of the annual actuarial valuation of SERS as of June 30, 2016. The results of that valuation were detailed in a report dated January 19, 2017. Connecticut State Employees Retirement System is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan.

GASB 68 replaced GASB 27 and represents a significant departure from the requirements of that prior statement. GASB 68 created disclosure and reporting requirements that may or may not be consistent with the basis used for funding the Plan.

Two major changes in GASB 68 are the requirements to include Net Pension Liability (NPL) and to recognize a Pension Expense (PE) in the employer's financial reporting.

The NPL shown in the GASB Statement No. 67 Report for the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System Prepared as of June 30, 2016 and submitted February 16, 2017 is the NPL used for purposes of GASB 68. Please refer to that report for the derivation of the NPL.

Pension Expense includes amounts for service cost (the Normal Cost under the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method for the year), interest on the Total Pension Liability (TPL), changes in benefit structure, amortization of increases/decreases in liability due to actuarial experience and actuarial assumption changes, and amortization of investment gains/losses. The actuarial experience and assumption change impacts are amortized over the average expected remaining service life of the Plan membership as of the Measurement Date, and investment gains/losses are amortized over five years. The development of the PE is shown in Section IV.

The unamortized portions of each year's experience, assumption changes and investment gains/losses are used to develop deferred inflows and outflows, which also must be included in the employer's financial reporting. The development of the collective deferred inflows and outflows is shown in Section III.

Section II of this report is a summary of the principal results of the amounts under GASB 68. Section III provides the results of all the necessary calculations, presented in the order laid out in GASB 68 for note disclosure and Required Supplementary Information (RSI).

| Valuation Date (VD): | June 30, 2016 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Measurement Date (MD): | June 30, 2016 |
| Reporting Date (RD): | June 30, 2017 |
| Membership Data: |  |
| Retirees and Survivors | 48,191 |
| Inactive Members | 1,412 |
| Active Members | 50,019 |
| Total | 99,622 |
| Single Equivalent Interest Rate (SEIR): |  |
| Long-Term Expected Rate of Return | 6.90\% |
| Municipal Bond Index Rate at Measurement Date | 3.01\% |
| Fiscal Year in which Plan's Fiduciary Net Position is projected to be depleted from future benefit payments for current members | N/A |
| Single Equivalent Interest Rate | 6.90\% |
| Net Pension Liability: |  |
| Total Pension Liability (TPL) | \$ 33,616,716 |
| Fiduciary Net Position (FNP) | $\underline{10,653,792}$ |
| Net Pension Liability (NPL = TPL - FNP) | \$ 22,962,924 |
| FNP as a percentage of TPL | 31.69\% |
| Pension Expense: | \$ 2,491,118 |
| Deferred Outflows of Resources: | \$ 5,452,126 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: | \$ 0 |

## SECTION III - NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The material presented herein will follow the order presented in GASB 68. Paragraph numbers are provided for ease of reference.

Paragraph 40 (c): The data required regarding the membership of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement System were furnished by the Retirement System. The following table summarizes the membership of the system as of June 30, 2016, the Measurement Date.

## Membership

| Group | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| Retired participants and beneficiaries |  |
| currently receiving benefits | 48,191 |
| Terminated participants and beneficiaries |  |
| entitled to benefits but not yet receiving | 1,412 |
| benefits | $\underline{50,019}$ |
| Active Participants | $\mathbf{9 9 , 6 2 2}$ |

Paragraph 41: This paragraph requires information regarding the actuarial assumptions used to measure the TPL. The TPL was determined based on the annual actuarial funding valuation report prepared as of June 30, 2016. The TPL was based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2015. The complete set of actuarial assumptions utilized in developing the TPL is outlined in Schedule C. The key actuarial assumptions are summarized below:

Inflation
Salary increases
Investment rate of return

### 2.50 percent

$3.50-19.50$ percent, including inflation
6.90 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation

The RP-2014 White Collar Mortality Table projected to 2020 by scale BB at $100 \%$ for males and $95 \%$ for females is used for the period after service retirement and for dependent beneficiaries. The RP-2014 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table at $65 \%$ for males and $85 \%$ for females is used for the period after disability. In our opinion, the projection of the mortality rates with Scale BB provide a sufficient margin in the assumed rates of mortality to allow for additional improvement in mortality experience.

Paragraph 42 (a)-(f): The discount rate used to measure the TPL at June 30, 2016 was the long term rate of return, 6.90 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rates and that Employer contributions will be made equal to the difference between the projected actuarially determined contribution and member contributions. Projected future benefit payments for all current plan members were projected through the year 2136.

Based on those assumptions, the System's FNP was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the TPL and a municipal bond rate was not used in determining the discount rate.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a lognormal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

The target asset allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target <br> Allocation | Long-Term Expected <br> Real Rate of Return |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Large Cap U.S. Equities | $21.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Developed Non-U.S. Equities | 18.0 | 6.6 |
| Emerging Markets (Non-U.S.) | 9.0 | 8.3 |
| Real Estate | 7.0 | 5.1 |
| Private Equity | 11.0 | 7.6 |
| Alternative Investment | 8.0 | 4.1 |
| Fixed Income (Core) | 8.0 | 1.3 |
| High Yield Bonds | 5.0 | 3.9 |
| Emerging Market Bond | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Inflation Linked Bonds | 5.0 | 1.0 |
| Cash | 4.0 | 0.4 |

Paragraph 42 (g): This paragraph requires disclosure of the sensitivity of the NPL to changes in the discount rate. The following presents NPL of the System, calculated using the discount rate of 6.90 percent, as well as what the System's NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower ( 5.90 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher ( 7.90 percent) than the current rate: (\$ thousands)

|  | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ <br> Decrease <br> $\mathbf{( 5 . 9 0 \% )}$ | Current <br> Discount <br> Rate (6.90\%) | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ <br> Increase <br> $\mathbf{( 7 . 9 0 \% )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Pension Liability | $\$ 27,250,098$ | $\$ 22,962,924$ | $\$ 19,395,014$ |

Paragraph 44: This paragraph requires a schedule of changes in the NPL. The needed information is provided in the table below.

## CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY <br> (\$ in Thousands)

|  | Total Pension Liability (TPL) (a) | Fiduciary Net Position (FNP) (b) | Net Pension Liability (NPL) (a) - (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances at June 30, 2015 | 27,192,467 | 10,668,380 | 16,524,087 |
| Changes for the year: |  |  |  |
| Service cost | 322,114 |  | 322,114 |
| Interest | 2,105,947 |  | 2,105,947 |
| Difference between expected and actual experience | 772,762 |  | 772,762 |
| Changes of assumptions | 4,959,705 |  | 4,959,705 |
| Contributions - employer |  | 1,501,805 | $(1,501,805)$ |
| Contributions employee |  | 135,029 | $(135,029)$ |
| Net investment income |  | (100) | 100 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | $(1,736,279)$ | $(1,736,279)$ | 0 |
| Administrative expense |  | (651) | 651 |
| Other changes* | 0 | 85,608 | $(85,608)$ |
| Net changes | 6,424,249 | $(14,588)$ | 6,438,837 |
| Balances at June 30, 2016 | 33,616,716 | 10,653,792 | 22,962,924 |

[^0]Paragraph 45 (a): June 30, 2016 is the actuarial valuation date upon which the TPL is based. An expected TPL is determined as of June 30, 2016 using standard roll forward techniques. The procedure used to determine the TPL as of June 30, 2016 is shown on page 6 of the GASB 67 report for SERS submitted on February 16, 2017.

Paragraph 45 (c): Since the prior measurement date, rates of withdrawal, disability retirement, service retirement and mortality were adjusted to more closely reflect actual and anticipated experience. The analysis and basis for these changes are included in the latest Experience Investigation for the five-year period ending June 30, 2015. In addition, economic assumptions (assumed rates of inflation and investment return), the actuarial cost method, and the UAAL amortization methodology were changed in accordance with Memorandum of Agreement (MOU) between the State and SEBAC effective December 8, 2016.

Paragraph 45 (d): There was no change in the benefit terms that affected the measurement of the TPL since the prior measurement date.

Paragraph 45 (g): See Section IV for the annual Pension Expense.
Paragraph 45 (h): Since certain expense items are amortized over closed periods each year, the deferred portions of these items must be tracked annually. If the amounts serve to reduce Pension Expense they are labeled deferred inflows. If they will increase Pension Expense they are labeled deferred outflows. The amortization of these amounts is accomplished on a level dollar basis, with no interest included in the deferred amounts. Experience gains/losses and the impact of changes in actuarial assumptions, if any, are amortized over the average expected remaining service life of the active and inactive System members at the beginning of the fiscal year. Investment gains and losses are amortized over a fixed five year period.

The table below provides the calculation of the annual investment gain or loss.


* Includes $\$ 75,550$ adjustment to beginning of year net position.

The table below provides a summary of the deferred inflows and outflows as of June 30, 2016 with dollar amounts in thousands.

| Source | Deferred Outflows <br> of Resources | Deferred Inflows <br> of Resources |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | $\$ 637,900$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Changes of assumptions <br> Net difference between projected and actual earnings <br> on Plan investments <br> Employer contributions subsequent to the <br> measurement date | $4,094,137$ | 0 |
| Total | 720,089 | 0 |

Note: The deferred outflow of resources reported by an employer should include contributions made by the employer during its fiscal year that will be reflected in the net pension liability in the next measurement period.

Paragraph 45 (i): Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in Pension Expense as follows:

Deferred Amounts to be recognized in Fiscal Years Following the Reporting Date

| Year: | Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1,135,933$ |
| 2 | $1,135,931$ |
| 3 | $1,278,917$ |
| 4 | $1,171,028$ |
| 5 | 730,317 |
| 6 | 0 |
| Thereafter | 0 |
|  |  |

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017 (Reporting Date). Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense.

| Deferred Outflows and Inflows for Differences between Expected and Actual Experience |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in thousands) |

Deferred Outflows and Inflows for Differences from Assumption Changes
(\$ in thousands)

| Year | Assumption Losses <br> (a) | Assumption Gains (b) | Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense through 2016 <br> (c) | Balances as of June 30, 2017 <br> (Reporting Date) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Deferred Outflows $\text { (a) }-(\mathbf{c})$ | Deferred Inflows <br> (b) - (c) |
| 2016 | \$4,959,705 | \$0 | \$865,568 | \$4,094,137 | \$0 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$4,094,137 | \$0 |


| Deferred Outflows and Inflows for Differences in Investment Experience |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in thousands) |


| Amortization of Deferrals (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 Experience (Gain)/Loss |  | 2015 Experience (Gain)/Loss |  | 2016 Experience (Gain)/Loss |  | Total Deferrals |  |
| Fiscal Year End | Amount Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount <br> Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount <br> Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount <br> Recognized | EOY Balance |
| 6/30/2015 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 6/30/2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/30/2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134,862 | 637,900 | 134,862 | 637,900 |
| 6/30/2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134,862 | 503,038 | 134,862 | 503,038 |
| 6/30/2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134,862 | 368,176 | 134,862 | 368,176 |
| 6/30/2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134,862 | 233,314 | 134,862 | 233,314 |
| 6/30/2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134,862 | 98,452 | 134,862 | 98,452 |
| 6/30/2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98,452 | 0 | 98,452 | 0 |


| Amortization of Deferrals (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 Assumption (Gain)/Loss |  | 2015 Assumption (Gain)/Loss |  | 2016 Assumption (Gain)/Loss |  | Total Deferrals |  |
| Fiscal Year End | Amount Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount Recognized | EOY Balance |
| 6/30/2015 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 6/30/2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/30/2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 865,568 | 4,094,137 | 865,568 | 4,094,137 |
| 6/30/2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 865,568 | 3,228,569 | 865,568 | 3,228,569 |
| 6/30/2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 865,568 | 2,363,001 | 865,568 | 2,363,001 |
| 6/30/2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 865,568 | 1,497,433 | 865,568 | 1,497,433 |
| 6/30/2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 865,568 | 631,865 | 865,568 | 631,865 |
| 6/30/2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 631,865 | 0 | 631,865 | 0 |


| Amortization of Deferrals (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 Investment (Gain)/Loss |  | 2015 Investment (Gain)/Loss |  | 2016 Investment (Gain)/Loss |  | Total Deferrals |  |
| Fiscal Year End | Amount <br> Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount Recognized | EOY Balance | Amount <br> Recognized | EOY Balance |
| 6/30/2015 | \$(142,985) | \$(571,942) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$(142,985) | \$571,942 |
| 6/30/2016 | $(142,985)$ | $(428,957)$ | 107,890 | 431,559 | 0 | 0 | $(35,095)$ | 2,602 |
| 6/30/2017 | $(142,985)$ | $(285,972)$ | 107,890 | 323,669 | 170,598 | 682,392 | 135,503 | 720,089 |
| 6/30/2018 | $(142,985)$ | $(142,987)$ | 107,890 | 215,779 | 170,598 | 511,794 | 135,503 | 584,586 |
| 6/30/2019 | $(142,987)$ | 0 | 107,890 | 107,889 | 170,598 | 341,196 | 135,501 | 449,085 |
| 6/30/2020 | 0 | 0 | 107,889 | 0 | 170,598 | 170,598 | 278,487 | 170,598 |
| 6/30/2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170,598 | 0 | 170,598 | 0 |
| 6/30/2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## SECTION IV - PENSION EXPENSE

As noted earlier, the Pension Expense (PE) consists of a number of different items. GASB 68 refers to the first as Service Cost which is the Normal Cost using the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial funding method. The second item is interest on the beginning of year TPL and the cash flows during the year at the SEIR in effect as of the previous measurement date.

The next three items refer to any changes that occurred in the TPL due to:

- benefit changes,
- actual versus expected experience or
- changes in actuarial assumptions.

Benefit changes, which are reflected immediately in PE, can be positive, if there is a benefit improvement for existing Plan members, or negative if there is a benefit reduction. For the year ended June 30, 2016 there were no benefit changes to be recognized.

The next item to be recognized is the portion of current year changes in TPL due to actual versus expected experience for the year. The portion to recognize in the current year is determined by spreading the total change over the average expected remaining service life of the entire Plan membership. The remaining service life of active members is the average number of years they are expected to remain active. For the year ended June 30, 2016 this number is 11.14 . The remaining service life of the inactive members is, of course, zero. Therefore, the figure to use for the amortization is the weighted average of these two amounts, or 5.73. The table below provides the calculation of the average remaining future service life.

| Category | Number | Average Years of <br> Future Service Life <br> (2) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. Active Members | 49,976 | 11.14 |
| b. Inactive Members | 47,260 | 0.00 |
| c. Total | 97,236 |  |
| Weighted Average Years of Future Service <br> Life $[(\mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{x}$ a2) +b 1 x b2)]/c1 | 5.73 |  |

The last item under changes in TPL are changes in actuarial assumptions. Since the prior measurement date, rates of withdrawal, disability retirement, service retirement and mortality were adjusted to more closely reflect actual and anticipated experience. The analysis and basis for these changes are included in the latest Experience Investigation for the five-year period ending June 30, 2015. In addition, economic assumptions (assumed rates of inflation and investment return), the actuarial cost method, and the UAAL amortization methodology were changed in accordance with Memorandum of Agreement (MOU) between the State and SEBAC effective December 8, 2016. Recognition of these changes will be spread over the remaining service life of the entire Plan membership.

Member contributions for the year and projected earnings on the FNP, again at the rate used to calculate the liabilities, are subtracted from the amount determined thus far. The current year portions of previously determined experience, assumption, and investment earnings amounts, recognized as deferred inflows and outflows (see Section IV) are included next. Deferred inflows are subtracted from the PE while deferred outflows are added to the PE. Since this is the first year of implementation of GASB 68, there are no deferred inflows or outflows at the beginning of the year. Finally, administrative expenses and other miscellaneous items are included.

The calculation of the Pension Expense is shown in the following table.

## Pension Expense

Determined as of the Measurement Date (\$ thousands)

| Service Cost | \$322,114 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Interest | 2,105,947 |
| Current-period benefit changes | 0 |
| Expensed portion of current-period difference between expected and actual experience in the total pension liability | 134,862 |
| Expensed portion of current-period changes of assumptions | 865,568 |
| Member contributions | $(135,029)$ |
| Projected earnings on plan investments | $(852,890)$ |
| Expensed portion of current-period differences between actual and projected earnings on plan investments | 170,598 |
| Administrative expense | 651 |
| Other* | $(85,608)$ |
| Recognition of beginning deferred outflows of resources as pension expense | 0 |
| Recognition of beginning deferred inflows of resources as pension expense | $(35,095)$ |
| Pension Expense | \$2,491,118 |

[^1]
## SECTION V - REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

There are several tables of Required Supplementary Information (RSI) that need to be included in the System's financial statements.

Paragraph 46: The required tables are provided in Schedule A.
Paragraph 47: In addition the following should be noted regarding the RSI:

## Changes of benefit terms:

- 2014
- The 2011 SEBAC Agreement changed the benefit multiplier for the portion of benefit below the breakpoint from $1.33 \%$ to $1.40 \%$. This change was made effective for all active members who retire on or after July 1, 2013 in Tier II, IIA and III.
- A one-time decision was granted to members not eligible to retire by July 1, 2022 to elect to maintain the same normal retirement eligibility applicable to members eligible to retire before July 1, 2022. Employees who elected by July 1, 2013 to maintain the eligibility are required to make additional employee contributions for the length of their remaining active service with SERS. The additional contribution was up to $0.72 \%$ of pensionable earnings.


## Changes of assumptions:

- 2016
- Rates of withdrawal, disability retirement, service retirement and mortality were adjusted to more closely reflect actual and anticipated experience. The analysis and basis for these changes are included in the latest Experience Investigation for the fiveyear period ending June 30, 2015.
- Economic assumptions (assumed rates of inflation and investment return), the actuarial cost method, and the UAAL amortization methodology were changed in accordance with Memorandum of Agreement (MOU) between the State and SEBAC effective December 8, 2016.

Method and assumptions used in calculations of actuarially determined contributions. The actuarially determined contributions in the schedule of employer contributions are calculated as of June 30 each biennium for the fiscal years ending two and three years after the valuation date (June 30, 2016 employer contributions based on June 30, 2014 valuation). The following actuarial methods and assumptions were used to determine the most recent contributions reported in that schedule:

Actuarial cost method
Amortization method
Single equivalent amortization period
Asset valuation method
Inflation
Salary increase
Investment rate of return

Projected Unit Credit
Level percent of pay, closed
17 years
5-year smoothed market
3.75 percent
4.00-20.00 percent, including inflation
8.00 percent, net of investment related expense

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY

(\$ in Thousands)

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ 287,473 | \$ 310,472 | \$ 322,114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | 1,998,736 | 2,052,651 | 2,105,947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit changes | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference between expected and actual experience | 0 | 0 | 772,762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Changes of assumptions | 0 | 0 | 4,959,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments | $(1,563,029)$ | $(1,650,465)$ | $(1,729,181)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refunds of contributions | $(3,935)$ | $(7,124)$ | $(7,098)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in total pension liability | 719,245 | 705,534 | 6,424,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total pension liability - beginning | 25,767,688 | 26,486,933 | 27,192,467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total pension liability - ending (a) | \$26,486,933 | \$27,192,467 | \$33,616,716 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan net position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - employer | \$ 1,268,890 | \$ 1,371,651 | \$ 1,501,805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - member | 144,807 | 187,339 | 135,029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment income | 1,443,391 | 294,412 | (100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments | $(1,563,029)$ | $(1,650,465)$ | $(1,729,181)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative expense | 0 | 0 | (651) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refunds of contributions | $(3,935)$ | $(7,124)$ | $(7,098)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 85,608* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in plan net position | 1,290,124 | 195,813 | $(14,588)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan net position - beginning | 9,182,443 | 10,472,567 | 10,668,380 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan net position - ending (b) | \$10,472,567 | \$10,668,380 | \$10,653,792 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net pension liability - ending (a) - (b) | \$16,014,366 | \$16,524,087 | \$22,962,924 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Includes \$75,550 adjustment to beginning of year net position.


## SCHEDULE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY

(\$ in Thousands)

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability | \$ | 26,486,933 | \$ | 27,192,467 | \$33,616,716 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan net position |  | 10,472,567 |  | 10,668,380 | 10,653,792 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net pension liability | \$ | 16,014,366 | \$ | 16,524,087 | \$22,962,924 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of plan net position to total pension liability |  | 39.54\% |  | 39.23\% | 31.69\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 3,487,577 | \$ | 3,618,361 | \$ 3,720,751 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 459.18\% |  | 456.67\% | 617.16\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
# SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS <br> (\$ in Thousands) 

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially determined employer contribution | \$1,514,467 | \$1,379,189 | \$1,268,935 | \$1,059,652 | \$ 926,372 | \$ 944,077 | \$ 897,428 |  |  |  |
| Actual employer contributions | $\underline{1.501 .805}$ | $\underline{1.371 .651}$ | $\underline{1.268 .890}$ | $\underline{1.058 .113}$ | $\underline{926.343}$ | $\underline{825,801}$ | 720.527 |  |  |  |
| Annual contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ 12,662 | \$ $\quad 7.538$ | $\$ \quad 45$ | $\xrightarrow{\$ \quad 1.539}$ | $\$ \quad 29$ | \$ | $\xrightarrow{\$ 176.901}$ |  |  |  |
| Covered payroll* | \$3,720,751 | \$3,618,361 | \$3,487,577 | \$3,480,483 | \$3,354,682 | \$3,210,666 | \$3,295,666 |  |  |  |
| Actual contributions as a percentage of covered payroll | 40.36\% | 37.91\% | 36.38\% | 30.40\% | 27.61\% | 25.72\% | 21.86\% |  |  |  |

* Covered payroll equals the total active annual compensation from each year's valuation report.


## SCHEDULE B

## SUMMARY OF BENEFIT PROVISIONS EVALUATED

The Connecticut State Employees Retirement System (CT SERS) is a defined benefit pension plan established by the Connecticut General Assembly for the purpose of providing retirement allowances and other benefits for State employees in Connecticut, and their survivors and other beneficiaries.

## Eligibility Requirements

Tier I

Tier II

Tier IIA

Tier III

Hybrid

All State Employees, Elected Officials and their Appointees hired prior to July 1, 1984. Those employees hired between July 1, 1982 and January 1, 1984 could elect to move to Tier II.

All State Employees, Elected Officials and their Appointees hired on or after July 1, 1984.

All State Employees, Elected Officials and their Appointees hired on or after July 1, 1997.

All State Employees, Elected Officials and their Appointees hired on or after July 1, 2011.

All Higher Education Employees that are eligible for the Alternative Retirement Plan may elect the Hybrid Plan.

## Final Average Earnings (FAE)

Tier I, II, and IIA
Average Salary of the three highest paid years of service. Effective January 1, 1986, no one year's earnings can be greater than $130 \%$ of the average of the two preceding years in calculating the Final Average Earnings.

Average Salary of the five highest paid years of service. No one year's earnings can be greater than $130 \%$ of the average of the two preceding years in calculating the Final Average Earnings.

## Normal Retirement Benefit

Eligibility

Benefit

Tier I Hazardous - 20 years of credited service.
Tier I Plans B and C - Earliest of age 55 with 25 years of service, age 60 with 10 years of service, or age 70 with 5 years of service.

Tier II Hazardous - 20 years of credited service.
Tier II and IIA - For those who will be eligible for retirement on or before July 1, 2022, the earliest of age 62 with 10 years of vesting service (effective July 1, 1992), age 60 with 25 years of vesting service, age 70 with 5 years of vesting service, or age 62 with 5 years of actual state service for terminations on or after July 1, 1997.

For those who will not be eligible for retirement on or before July 1, 2022, the earliest of age 65 with 10 years of vesting service, age 63 with 25 years of vesting service, age 70 with 5 years of vesting service.

Tier III Hazardous - Earlier of Age 50 and 20 years of benefit service or 25 years of benefit service.

Tier III - Age 63 and 25 years of benefit service or Age 65 and 10 years of benefit service.

Tier I Hazardous - 50\% of FAE plus 2\% for each year of service in excess of 20.

Tier I Plan B $-2 \%$ of FAE times years of service up to age 65. Thereafter, $1 \%$ of FAE up to $\$ 4,800$, plus $2 \%$ of FAE in excess of $\$ 4,800$ times years of service. At age 70 , greater of $1.25 \%$ of FAE up to $\$ 4,800$ plus $2.5 \%$ of FAE in excess of $\$ 4,800$ times years of service (maximum 20 years) or $1.0 \%$
of FAE up to $\$ 4,800$ plus $2 \%$ of FAE in excess of $\$ 4,800$ times year of service. Minimum benefit with 25 years is $\$ 833.34$ per month.

Tier I Plan C $-2 \%$ of FAE times years of service. At age 70, greater of $2.5 \%$ of FAE times years of service (maximum 20 years) or $2.0 \%$ of FAE times years of
service. Minimum benefit with 25 years is $\$ 833.34$ per month.

Tier II,IIA and III Hazardous - 2.5\% of FAE times years of service up to 20 years plus $2.0 \%$ of FAE times years of service in excess of 20 years, if any. Minimum benefit with 25 years is $\$ 360$ per month.

Tier II, IIA and III All Others - 1.40\% of FAE plus $0.433 \%$ of FAE in excess of year's breakpoint*, times years of service from October 1, 1982 up to 35 years plus $1.625 \%$ of FAE times years of service in excess of 35 years, if any. Minimum benefit with 25 years if $\$ 360$ per month.

* $\$ 10,700$ increased by $6 \%$ each year after 1982 , rounded to nearest $\$ 100$ but not greater than Social Security Covered Compensation.


## Early Retirement Benefit

Eligibility

## Hazardous - None.

Tier I - Age 55 with 10 years of service.
Tier II and IIA - Age 55 with 10 years of service.
Tier III - Age 58 with 10 years of service.

Benefit
Tier I - Benefit is Normal Retirement Benefit reduced for retirement prior to age 60 with less than 25 years of service.

Tier II, IIA and III - Benefit is Normal Retirement Benefit reduced 0.25\% (effective July 1, 1991) for each month prior to age 60 if at least 25 years of service or age 62 if at least 10 but less than 25 years of service.

For those who retire on or after October 2, 2011 but prior to meeting the age and service requirements for a normal retirement, will be subject to a benefit reduced by $0.50 \%$ for each month prior to Normal Retirement.

## Disability Retirement Benefit

Tier I

Tier II, IIA and III

For non-service disabilities occurring prior to age 60 with at least 5 years of service, benefit is $3 \%$ of FAE times years of service; maximum benefit is $1.667 \%$ of FAE times year of service projected to age 65 .

For service disabilities occurring prior to age 60 , benefit is $1.667 \%$ of Salary times years of service projected to age 65 (maximum 30 years).

Exception: State Police benefit is equal to the normal retirement benefit if more than 20 years of service. State Police also receives an additional benefit of $\$ 360$ per month plus $\$ 300$ to spouse plus $\$ 300$ to a surviving dependent child.

Prior to age 65 for service related disability or at any age with at least 10 years of service, benefit is $1.333 \%$ of FAE plus $0.50 \%$ of FAE in excess of the year's breakpoint, times service projected to age 65 (maximum 30 years).

## Deferred Vested Retirement Benefit

Eligibility

Tier I-10 years of service.

Tier II and IIA - Effective July 1, 1997, 5 years of actual state service, 10 years of vesting service, or age 70 with 5 years of service.

Tier III - 10 years of benefit service.

Tier I - Benefit is payable at Normal Retirement Age or an Early Retirement Benefit is payable at age 55.

Tier II and IIA - Benefit is payable at Normal Retirement Age or an Early Retirement Benefit is payable at age 55.

Tier III - Benefit is payable at Normal Retirement Age or an Early Retirement Benefit is payable at age 58 .

## Pre-Retirement Spouse's Benefit

Tier I

Tier II, IIA and III

Tiers I, II, IIA and III

Payment Options

State Police - Survivor benefits to spouse of $\$ 670$ per month plus $\$ 300$ to a surviving dependent child.

If eligible for early or normal retirement, $50 \%$ of the average of the Life Benefit and the 50\% Joint \& Survivor Benefit the member would have received.

If not eligible for retirement but with 25 years of service, the same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.

If not eligible for retirement, return of contributions (5\% interest).

If eligible for early or normal retirement, $50 \%$ of the $50 \%$ Joint \& Survivor Benefit the member would have received.

If not eligible for retirement but with 25 years of service, the same benefit calculated as though age 55 using service and earnings at death.

If not eligible for retirement, return of contributions (5\% interest).

If death is due to employment and there are dependent children under age 18 , spouse will be paid $\$ 100,000$ in 10 annual installments while living and not remarried. In addition, $\$ 50$ per month will be paid to each child while under age 18.

If death is due to employment and there are no dependent children under age 18 , spouse will be paid $\$ 50,000$ in not less than 10 annual installments.
$50 \%$ or $100 \%$ Joint and Survivor (Normal Form if married).
Straight life annuity (Normal Form if not married). 10 or 20 year certain and life annuity.

## Cost of Living Adjustments (COLA)

Annual adjustments each July 1 of up to 5\% for retirements prior to July 1, 1980; 3\% for retirements after July 1, 1980. For members (and beneficiaries) not covered by Social Security and age 62 and over, the maximum increase is $6 \%$.

For employees retiring after June 30, 1999, the annual adjustment will be $60 \%$ of the increase in CPI up to $6 \%$ and $75 \%$ of the increase in the CPI over $6 \%$. This adjustment will be no less than $2.5 \%$ and no greater than $6 \%$.

Employees retiring between July 1, 1997 and June 30, 1999 made an irrevocable choice between the above formula and a fixed 3\% annual adjustment.

An employee from Tier IIA must have at least 10 years of actual state service or directly make the transition into retirement in order to be eligible for annual adjustments.

For employees retiring on or after October 2, 2011, the minimum COLA shall be $2.0 \%$ and the maximum COLA shall be $7.5 \%$.

## Member Contributions*

Tier I - Hazardous

Tier I - Plan B

Tier I - Plan C

Tier II - Hazardous

Tier II - All Others

Tier IIA \& III - Hazardous
Tier IIA \& III - All Others

4\% of earnings up to Social Security Taxable Wage Base plus 5\% of earnings above that level.

2\% of earnings up to Social Security Taxable Wage Base plus 5\% of earnings above that level.

5\% of earnings.
4\% of earnings.

None.

5\% of earnings.
$2 \%$ of earnings.

* Increased for anyone electing to maintain retirement eligibility.

Hybrid Defined Benefit/Defined Contribution Plan for Employees of Higher Learning

Individuals hired on or after July 1, 2011 otherwise eligible for the Alternate Retirement Plan ("ARP") shall be eligible to be members of the new Hybrid Plan in addition to their existing choices. Individuals who are currently members of the ARP shall be eligible to join the Hybrid Plan on a one time option at the full actuarial cost. The Hybrid Plan shall have defined benefits identical to Tier II/IIA and Tier III for individuals hired on or after July 1, 2011, but shall require employee contributions $3 \%$ higher than the contribution required from the Applicable Tier II/IIA/III Plan. An employee shall have the option, upon leaving state service, of accepting the defined benefit amount, or electing to receive a return of his/her contributions to the Hybrid Plan, plus a $5 \%$ employer match, plus $4 \%$ interest ("cash out option"). In the event the employee elects the cash out option, he/she shall permanently waive any entitlement they may have to health insurance as a retired state employee unless they convert the cash out option to a periodic payment as would be required under the current ARP Plan.

## SCHEDULE C

## STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Adopted or reaffirmed by the Commission for the June 30, 2016 and later valuations.
VALUATION INTEREST RATE: $6.90 \%$ per annum, compounded annually, net of expenses. SALARY INCREASES:

| Years of Service | Rate* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $9.50 \%$ |
| 1 | $19.50 \%$ |
| 2 | $9.50 \%$ |
| 3 | $5.75 \%$ |
| 4 | $5.50 \%$ |
| 5 | $5.25 \%$ |
| 6 | $5.00 \%$ |
| 7 | $5.00 \%$ |
| 8 | $5.00 \%$ |
| 9 | $5.00 \%$ |
| 10 | $4.50 \%$ |
| 11 | $4.50 \%$ |
| 12 | $4.50 \%$ |
| 13 | $4.50 \%$ |
| 14 | $4.50 \%$ |
| $15+$ | $3.50 \%$ |

*includes Wage Inflation of 3.50\%

## COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENTS:

| Group | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pre July 1, 1980 Retirees | $3.25 \%$ |
| July 1, 1980 - June 30, 1997 Retirees | $3.00 \%$ |
| July 1, 1997 - October 1, 2011 Retirees | $2.60 \%$ |
| Post October 1, 2011 Retirees | $2.25 \%$ |

SOCIAL SECURITY WAGE BASE INCREASES: $3.50 \%$ per annum.
PAYROLL GROWTH ASSUMPTION: $3.50 \%$ per annum.

IMPACT OF LONGLEY DECISION: Benefits for members retiring from service on or after the Longley decision date are assumed to increase by $0.084 \%$ as a result of the revised treatment of longevity pay. Retroactive application of Longley has been reflected in this valuation to the extent impacted retiree benefits have been recalculated.

SEPARATIONS BEFORE SERVICE RETIREMENT: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of separation before service retirement are as follows:

## WITHDRAWAL

| Annual Rates of Withdrawal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6-9 | 10+ |
| Hazardous Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 6.00\% | 3.00\% | 6.00\% | 3.00\% | 2.75\% | 2.00\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% |
| 25 | 6.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.00 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 30 | 6.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.00 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 35 | 6.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 40 | 8.75 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 45 | 8.75 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 50 | 8.75 | 5.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 55+ | 8.75 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| Hazardous Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 10.00\% | 10.00\% | 5.00\% | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 2.50\% | 1.25\% |
| 25 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 5.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| 30 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| 35 | 12.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 2.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| 40 | 12.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| 45 | 12.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| 50 | 12.00 | 8.00 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| 55+ | 12.00 | 8.00 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| Nonhazardous Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 45.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 10.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% |
| 25 | 30.0 | 28.0 | 19.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| 30 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 35 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| 40 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| 45 | 22.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| 50 | 22.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| 55+ | 25.0 | 19.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| Nonhazardous Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 20.0\% | 8.0\% | 10.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% |
| 25 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| 30 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 35 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| 40 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| 45 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| 50 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| 55+ | 18.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 |

## DISABILITY

| Annual Rates of Disability <br> Hge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | $0.05 \%$ | Non-Hazardous |
| 35 | 0.12 | $0.04 \%$ |
| 40 | 0.18 | 0.05 |
| 45 | 0.35 | 0.10 |
| 50 | 0.40 | 0.12 |
| 55 | 0.50 | 0.20 |
| 60 | 0.65 | 0.40 |
| 65 | 0.80 | 0.50 |
| 70 | 1.35 | 0.60 |
|  |  | 0.60 |

RETIREMENT: The assumed annual rates of retirement are shown below.

| Annual Rates of Retirement   <br> Hazardous   Tier I, II \& IIA $^{\text {Age }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First | All | Tier III |
|  | Year | Years |  |
|  | Eligible | After |  |
| 40 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 41 | 30 | 40 | 20 |
| 42 | 30 | 35 | 20 |
| 43 | 30 | 30 | 20 |
| 44 | 30 | 25 | 20 |
| 45 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 46 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 47 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 48 | 40 | 15 | 20 |
| 49 | 40 | 15 | 20 |
| 50 | 40 | 20 | 20 |
| 51 | 40 | 20 | 20 |
| 52 | 40 | 20 | 20 |
| 53 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 54 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 55 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 56 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 57 | 40 | 15 | 20 |
| 58 | 40 | 25 | 20 |
| 59 | 40 | 20 | 20 |
| $60-64$ | 50 | 30 | 20 |
| $65-69$ | 50 | 50 | 20 |
| $70-79$ | 100 | 30 | 20 |
| 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Annual Rates of Retirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonhazardous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tier I |  |  | Tier II \& IIA |  |  | Tier III |  |  |
| Age | Early | First <br> Year | Other <br> Years | Early | First Year | Other <br> Years | Early | First <br> Year | Other <br> Years |
| 55 | 6.0\% | 28.0\% |  | 4.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 15.0\% | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 5.0\% |  |  |
| 59 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |
| 60 |  | 12.5 | 12.5 | 4.0 | 13.5\% |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| 61 |  | 15.0 | 12.5 | 4.0 | 15.0 | 13.0\% | 10.0 |  |  |
| 62 |  | 10.0 | 20.0 |  | 15.0 | 24.0 | 12.0 |  |  |
| 63 |  | 35.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 32.0\% |  |
| 64 |  | 45.0 | 10.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 30.0 | 30.0\% |
| 65 |  | 65.0 | 15.0 |  | 25.0 | 15.0 |  | 28.0 | 25.0 |
| 66 |  | 65.0 | 20.0 |  | 25.0 | 21.0 |  | 25.0 | 35.0 |
| 67 |  | 65.0 | 22.0 |  | 25.0 | 24.0 |  | 25.0 | 35.0 |
| 68 |  | 65.0 | 15.0 |  | 25.0 | 18.0 |  | 25.0 | 35.0 |
| 69 |  | 65.0 | 15.0 |  | 25.0 | 18.0 |  | 25.0 | 30.0 |
| 70 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 50.0 | 20.0 |  | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 71 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 50.0 | 24.0 |  | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 72 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 50.0 | 22.0 |  | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 73 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 50.0 | 22.0 |  | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 74 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 50.0 | 22.0 |  | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 75 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 100.0 | 22.0 |  | 100.0 | 30.0 |
| 76 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 100.0 | 25.0 |  | 100.0 | 30.0 |
| 77 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 100.0 | 22.0 |  | 100.0 | 30.0 |
| 78 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 100.0 | 25.0 |  | 100.0 | 30.0 |
| 79 |  | 100.0 | 15.0 |  | 100.0 | 22.0 |  | 100.0 | 30.0 |
| 80 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |

DEATHS AFTER RETIREMENT: The RP-2014 White Collar Mortality Table projected to 2020 by Scale BB at $100 \%$ for males and $95 \%$ for females is used for the period after retirement and for dependent beneficiaries. Representative values of the assumed annual rates of mortality are as follows:

| Age | Men | Women | Age | Men | Women |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 40 | $0.043 \%$ | $0.031 \%$ | 65 | $0.705 \%$ | $0.579 \%$ |
| 45 | 0.067 | 0.052 | 70 | 1.133 | 0.933 |
| 50 | 0.272 | 0.194 | 75 | 1.943 | 1.553 |
| 55 | 0.384 | 0.250 | 80 | 3.407 | 2.688 |
| 60 | 0.501 | 0.348 | 85 | 6.247 | 4.826 |

In our opinion, the projection of the mortality rates with Scale BB provide a sufficient margin in the assumed rates of mortality to allow for additional improvement in mortality experience.

The RP-2014 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table at $65 \%$ for males and $85 \%$ for females is used for the period after disability.

ASSET METHOD: Market Value of Assets.
VALUATION METHOD: Entry Age Normal cost method.
SPOUSES: For members who have elected spouse coverage, husbands are assumed to be three years older than their wives.

PERCENT MARRIED: $80 \%$ of active members are assumed to be married with an average of two children who are on average age 12 .

## OTHER ASSUMPTIONS:

- $20 \%$ of Pre-Retirement deaths are assumed to be service related,
- $50 \%$ of Tier I Hazardous Employees are assumed to be State Police,
- To take into account State Police Supplemental Benefits and the offset of Workers Compensation, Social Security, and Non-Rehabilitation Earnings, the following minimum and maximum benefits as a percent of salary are assumed for disability benefits:

Tier I State Police

| Minimum |  | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ |  | $80 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ |  | $60 \%$ |


[^0]:    * Includes $\$ 75,550$ adjustment to beginning of year net position.

[^1]:    * Includes $\$ 75,550$ adjustment to beginning of year net position.

[^2]:    * Covered payroll equals the total active annual compensation from each year's valuation report.

