## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

## STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT COMMISSION

JULY 20, 2023 MEETING HELD VIA ZOOM CONVENED AT 9:02 a.m.

# Board Members Present:

Peter Adomeit, Chairman Michael Bailey, Trustee Karen Buffkin, Trustee Michael Carey, Trustee Carl Chisem, Trustee John Disette, Trustee Tara Downes, Deputy Comptroller, Ex Officio Member David Krayeski, Trustee Sal Luciano, Trustee Michael O'Brien, Trustee Claude Poulin, Trustee Angel Quiros, Trustee Timothy Ryor, Trustee Mark Sciota, Municipal Liaison Rebecca Simonsen, Trustee Ted Wright, Chief Investment Officer, Office of the Treasurer, Ex Officio Member

# Absent:

Karen Nolen, Trustee

### Also Present:

Bruce Barth, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole Cindy Cieslak, General Counsel to the Commission, Rose Kallor Robert Helfand, Assistant Director, Retirement Services Division John Herrington, Director, Retirement Services Division Virginia McGarrity, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole

Yamuna Menon, General Counsel, Assistant Comptroller

Also Present, continued:

Bruce Barth, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole Cindy Cieslak, General Counsel to the Commission, Rose Kallor Robert Helfand, Assistant Director, Retirement Services Division John Herrington, Director, Retirement Services Division Virginia McGarrity, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole

Yamuna Menon, General Counsel, Assistant Comptroller Charlotte Moller, Retirement Services Division Colin Newman, Retirement Services Division Michael Rose, General Counsel to the Commission, Rose Kallor

TRANSCRIPTIONIST: Karin A. Empson

1 (Proceedings commenced at 9:02 a.m.) 2 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Do we have a quorum, Cindy? 6 7 MS. CIESLAK: Yes, we do. 8 CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: We do, okay. All right. Then I will call the meeting to order. This is the 9 July  $20^{th}$ , 1923 - 2023 meeting of the State Employees 10 11 Retirement Commission being held electronically via Zoom. 12 Do you have the attendance, Cindy? 13 MS. CIESLAK: Sure. Good morning. 14 This is 15 Cindy Cieslak. Present this morning, we have Chairman Peter Adomeit; Trustee Michael Bailey; Tax Counsel from 16 Robinson & Cole, Bruce Barth; Trustee Karen Buffkin; 17 Trustee Michael Carey; Trustee Carl Chisem; General 18 19 Counsel from Rose Kallor, Cindy Cieslak; Trustee John 20 DiSette; Deputy Comptroller and Ex Officio Member of the Retirement Commission, Tara Downes; Assistant 21 Director from the Retirement Services Division, Robert 22 23 Helfand; Director from the Retirement Services Division, John Herrington; Trustee David Krayeski; 24 Trustee Sal Luciano; Tax Counsel from Robinson & Cole, 25

Virginia McGarrity; Assistant Comptroller and General
Counsel, Yam Menon; Trustee Michael O'Brien; Actuarial
Trustee Claude Poulin; Trustee Angel Quiros; General
Counsel from Rose Kallor, Michael Rose; Actuarial
Trustee Tim Ryor; Municipal Liaison Mark Sciota;
Trustee Rebecca Simonsen.

Is there anybody I missed? There are a lot of people coming in all at once. Looks like we're good. Thank you, Peter.

CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Thank you. Can you hear that noise? You can't hear it, okay. I have a woodchipper outside my door. I had a 200-year-old oak tree fall down.

Okay, I'm going to defer to Tara Downes

because I don't have much of a voice today. Tara?

MS. DOWNES: Oh, good morning. I don't have

anything to report. I'll leave it to our director,

John Herrington.

CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Mr. Herrington?

MR. HERRINGTON: I don't have anyone to defer

to, so I'll jump into our report. Basically, this

month, you'll see that there was a slight uptick. You

know, after the 2022 surge, we saw an extreme kind of

drop-off in retirements. July typically is a heavy

month just based on kind of the life cycle of the

higher ed population, and I think that that's why the number is higher than it has been in recent months.

But as we'll see, historically, it's still low.

We still continue to see some low levels. We hope that that's going to result in good news when we see the actuarial valuation for this year. That's kind of our focus at this point. We continue to pull the information for the different plans, and we continue to perfect that and scrub it each year. We do a better and better job of increasing and maximizing the accuracy of the underlying census data.

The only other issue that I have to report is we continue to make progress with the CMERS reforms.

The CMERS reforms that will most directly impact the retirement commission would be the reforms that relate to the governance of the CMERS plan where we have a working group and there is the desire to increase the presence and the voice of the CMERS entities.

With respect to this body, the temporary solution is to create a CMERS subcommittee. We hope to provide some information to the Legal & Personnel Subcommittee next month for discussion of the relevant issues next month and, depending on where that goes, it's possible that there may be a proposal or a set of issues to present to the entire commission next month.

And I'd say we have two issues. The first would be kind of the short term, how we would incorporate this new subcommittee into our existing practice, and then, you know, some thought on how this might look long-term, whether, you know, that reform will be sufficient, or whether there will be need for more. But I would expect that by next month's meeting, there should be, you know, more specifics to present to this body.

Other than that, I don't have anything more to present today.

CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Any questions or comments? Okay, hearing none, Mr. Bailey.

MS. CIESLAK: Mr. Chairman, I apologize for the interruption. This is Cindy Cieslak. I just wanted to note for the record that Ted Wright, Chief Investment Officer from the Office of the Treasurer and Ex Officio Member of the Retirement Commission is present as well as Charlotte Moller from the Retirement Services Division.

CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Very good. New matters.

MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, this is Michael
Bailey. I move approval of the State Employees
Retirement Commission minutes with director's report on

```
June 2023.
1
2
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
3
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
4
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
                MS. BUFFKIN: Aye.
5
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
6
7
     hand. It's unanimous; the ayes have it.
                MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, this is Michael
8
     Bailey. I move approval of the SERS expenses for June
9
     2023.
10
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
11
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
12
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
13
               MS. BUFFKIN:
14
                             Aye.
15
                MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
16
     hand. It's unanimous; the ayes have it.
17
                MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, Michael Bailey. I
18
19
     move approval of the State Employees Retirement
20
     Commission Chairman's per diem expenses for June 2023.
21
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
22
23
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
24
                MS. BUFFKIN:
                             Aye.
25
                MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
```

```
1
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
2
     hand. The ayes have it, unanimous.
3
                MR. BAILEY: This is Michael Bailey. I move
4
     approval of the State Employees Retirement Commission
     Union Trustee, Claude Poulin's per diem and travel
5
     expenses reimbursement for June 2023.
6
7
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
8
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
9
10
               MR. LUCIANO:
                             Aye.
11
               MS. BUFFKIN: Aye.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
12
     hand. The ayes have it, unanimous.
13
                MR. BAILEY: This is Michael Bailey. I move
14
15
     approval of the State Employees Retirement Commission
16
     Management Trustee, Timothy Ryor's per diem expenses
     June 2023.
17
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
18
19
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
20
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
21
               MS. BUFFKIN:
                             Aye.
22
               MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
23
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
     hand. The ayes have it, unanimous.
24
```

MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, I move Items 6 and

```
7, acceptance of the invoices from Robinson & Cole and
1
2
     from Rose Kallor for expenses for June 2023.
3
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
4
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
5
                MR. LUCIANO:
6
                             Aye.
                MS. BUFFKIN:
7
                             Aye.
8
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
     hand. The ayes have it, unanimous.
9
                MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, I move Items 8, 9,
10
11
     and 10, approval of the Connecticut State Employees
     Retirement System service retirements, voluntary
12
     pending retirements, and disability for the month of
13
     June 2023.
14
15
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
16
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
17
                MS. BUFFKIN: Aye.
18
19
                MR. LUCIANO:
                             Aye.
20
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
21
     hand. It's unanimous; the ayes have it.
                MR. BAILEY: This is Michael Bailey. I move
22
23
     approval of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement
     System municipal employees' retirements for the month
24
     of June 2023.
25
```

```
1
               MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
2
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
3
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
               MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
4
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
5
            The ayes have it, unanimous.
6
7
                MR. BAILEY: This is Michael Bailey. I move
8
     approval of the Connecticut State Employees Retirement
     System retroactive retirements for June of 2023.
               MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
10
11
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
12
               MR. LUCIANO:
13
                             Aye.
14
               MS. BUFFKIN: Aye.
15
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
     hand. It's unanimous; the ayes have it.
16
               MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, this is Michael
17
     Bailey. I move Items 13 and 14 of the agenda for
18
19
     acceptance of the Municipal Employees Retirement System
20
     disability retirement approvals and disability
     retirement tabled and/or denials from the Medical
21
     Examining Board for June 2023.
22
23
               MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
24
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
25
```

```
1
                MS. BUFFKIN: Aye.
2
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
3
     hand. The ayes have it, unanimous.
                MR. BAILEY: This is Michael Bailey. I move
4
     approval of the Probate Judges and Employees Retirement
5
     Fund personnel expenses for June 2023.
6
7
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
8
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
9
10
                MS. BUFFKIN:
                             Aye.
11
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
12
     hand. The ayes have it.
                MR. BAILEY: And Mr. Chairman, this is
13
     Michael Bailey. I move approval for the request
14
15
     received from Sean Kilbride that the commission
     consider whether tolling of time limit is appropriate
16
     as it relates to him making application for a non-
17
     service-connected disability retirement. This was
18
19
     tabled from the June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2023 meeting.
                MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second.
20
21
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing
22
     none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
23
                MR. CAREY: Mr. Chairman? This is Mike
24
     Carey. I'm sorry.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Sure.
```

```
1
                MR. CAREY: Regarding the motion, are we -
2
     I'd like some clarification, please. Is the motion
3
     that we approve the request to toll, or that we are
     reviewing the request because it had been tabled from
4
     last meeting?
5
                MR. LUCIANO: I thought the motion was to put
6
7
     this back on the table.
8
                MR. CAREY: Okay.
                MR. LUCIANO: For us to-
9
                MR. CAREY: For us to consider. Thank you.
10
11
     I just wanted to be clear that the motion was not that
     we are approving it at this - with this motion.
12
                MR. BAILEY: That's what I understand it to
13
     be as well, Michael.
14
15
                MR. CAREY: Thank you very much. I
     appreciate that.
16
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Yeah. It's not otherwise
17
18
     on the agenda.
19
                All in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
20
                MR. LUCIANO:
                             Aye.
21
                MS. BUFFKIN:
                             Aye.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
22
23
     hand. Unanimous, the ayes have it.
                MR. LUCIANO: Can somebody give us a
24
     thumbnail on this?
25
```

CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Cindy?

MS. CIESLAK: This is Cindy Cieslak. So there was a request by Mr. Kilbride actually to essentially toll the limitations period so (inaudible) had time for disability retirement beyond the two-year limitations period. And he had sought a hearing based on the fact that he had claimed there were extenuating circumstances. I believe the extenuating circumstances were related to his disability. And at the last meeting, it was tabled.

I believe he had indicated that - I don't have those notes in front of me, but I believe he indicated that it was someone had told him at the agency that he had to wait for workers' comp to be concluded, and so he was waiting for that to conclude. And so I believe the trustees wanted to find out if there was additional information we could get from the employing agency to either, you know, confirm that, or see if there was any additional information.

So he has asked to be allowed to apply for disability beyond the 24-month limitation period based on what he has set forth as extenuating circumstances.

MR. LUCIANO: Did we get the additional information, Cindy?

MS. CIESLAK: I am going to defer to John

Herrington or Colin - oh, I wanted to note for the record, Colin Newman, Assistant Director for the Retirement Services Division has joined us. And I just saw he took himself off mute. So it looks like Colin might have some information for us.

MR. NEWMAN: Hi. So, yes, the additional documentation, you know, showed that - so Mr. Kilbride, it appears that he resigned - actually resigned not in good standing on June 30<sup>th</sup> of 2016. But he continued to receive temporary partial - temporary total and temporary partial workers' compensation. And those records show that he continued to receive that until approximately, I believe, like March of 2017.

And then, I think the last page would show that on - there's like a voluntary agreement that was signed, and that voluntary agreement was for like a permanent partial disability like agreement. And it really was for the period from April of 2017 through August of 2017. And so he - and then he subsequently applied for the - the second application for the disability retirement came in for September 1st of 2017.

So I think it depends on how you view those workers' comp payments, as opposed to the rule of last date of a payment being March of 2017. And if the commission determines that those temporary total,

```
1
     temporary partial payments were the same as someone
2
     being - receiving like a regular paycheck, the 24
3
     months could possibly start from that March 2017 date.
4
                However, if you determine that it doesn't
     matter the type of workers' comp payments that he
5
     received, then obviously the last payment he received
6
7
     would have been for the period that ran from April 2017
     through August of 2017, at which point, he did submit -
8
     an application was submitted, you know, for September
9
     1^{st} of 2017.
10
11
                MR. HERRINGTON: Aren't the dates - wasn't
     there an application for vested rights (inaudible)?
12
                MR. NEWMAN: There was an original
13
     application for vested rights that had the separation
14
     day of June 30th of 2016.
15
                MR. HERRINGTON: Right. But that was
16
     submitted September of '17, so after the conclusion of
17
     the workers' comp.
18
19
                MR. NEWMAN: Right, right, right.
20
                MR. HERRINGTON: And the disability
     applications that we're talking about, it was '19, not
21
     '17.
22
23
                MR. NEWMAN: It was 2019, sorry, '19, which
     was two years after - which was two years after.
24
     Sorry.
25
```

```
MS. CIESLAK: This is Cindy Cieslak. When he
1
2
     submitted that vested rights application, was he on the
3
     retirement payroll?
4
                MR. NEWMAN: No, because he was under the age
     of 55.
5
                MS. CIESLAK: Okay.
6
7
                MR. NEWMAN: So - because his - I believe - I
8
     don't have it in front of me, but I believe his vested
     rights day is like in 2028, I think.
9
                MR. HERRINGTON: Mm-hmm.
10
                MR. KRAYESKI: Hi, Colin. This is Dave
11
     Krayeski. Did we get any information regarding what he
12
     was advised by the agency in this instance?
13
                MR. NEWMAN: No. We didn't get anything from
14
15
     the agency regarding that.
16
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: How do you wish to
17
     proceed?
18
                MR. LUCIANO: What is the practice, Colin?
19
                MR. NEWMAN: The practice in the sense of
     how-
20
21
                MR. LUCIANO: How would (inaudible) handle
     this situation?
22
23
                MR. NEWMAN: So the division would initially
     take the last date of paid employment, which goes back
24
     to June 30th of 2016, and that 24-month period would
25
```

start from there. However, there have been situations, and I guess it depended on the actual case, where the workers' comp payments that the person — the person continued to receive workers' comp payments, that that has been viewed — and correct me if I'm wrong, Cindy — I think the commission has looked at that, and if the person continued to receive workers' comp payments, they viewed that as the same as someone receiving like a regular paycheck, so to speak.

The only question is, does it matter what type of worker's comp it is.

MS. CIESLAK: Right.

MR. NEWMAN: Because obviously the type that he was receiving was temporary partial, temporary total, which was creditable workers' comp, whereas for that lump sum payment that he - that voluntary agreement was signed, it was for a specific period of April to August of 2017, in which case, under normal circumstances, he wouldn't get retirement credit for that.

MS. CIESLAK: This is Cindy Cieslak. So now that Colin kind of gave that background, there has been at least one, possibly two or three matters, that our office have reviewed, and I think we've come out kind of different ways depending on what type of workers'

```
1
     comp benefits they were receiving. And so if you
2
     wanted us to review those matters and do a comparison
3
     so your practice could remain consistent, our office
     could do that.
4
                MR. LUCIANO: I would like that. I don't
5
     like being inconsistent, and it just opens the door to
6
7
     a lot of other - or it can open the door to a lot of
     other issues.
8
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: So we need a motion to
9
     table until the next meeting then.
10
11
                MR. LUCIANO: Yeah, I'd like to make the
     motion to table until the next meeting with the caveat
12
     that we look at this regarding what we've done in the
13
14
     past in terms of consistency.
15
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey will second.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any further discussion?
16
     Hearing none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
17
                MS. BUFFKIN: Aye.
18
19
                MR. LUCIANO:
                             Aye.
20
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
     hand. It's unanimous; the ayes have it.
21
                MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, this is Michael
22
23
     Bailey. I move to suspend the regular meeting and go
     into executive session for the purpose of discussing
24
```

Items 1 through 7 of the agenda. I also invite the

1 list of people that Cindy is going to give us at this
2 point.

MR. LUCIANO: Sal Luciano, second. The list Cindy is going to give us are people that will have or may have advice regarding the executive session.

is here to discuss the Colangelo case. So perhaps what we should do is take that case up first in executive session, and then come out of executive session and allow Attorney Krzys to address us, and then return to executive session and make a final determination.

MR. LUCIANO: I don't have a problem with that.

CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: All right.

MS. CIESLAK: Mr. Chairman, this is Cindy
Cieslak. The individuals that Mr. Bailey and Mr.
Luciano were referring to include Bruce Barth, Cindy
Cieslak, Robert Helfand, John Herrington, Virginia
McGarrity, Yam Menon, Colin Newman, Michael Rose, and
Charlotte Moller.

CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. We're going to discuss the case that Mr. Krzys is here on in executive session. And then we're going to come out of executive session and allow Attorney Krzys to make a presentation, and then go back into executive session

```
1
     and make a ruling.
2
                So we are in executive session now for
3
     Colangelo.
                MS. CIESLAK: Mr. Chairman, can you call the
4
     vote?
5
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: I did not. All in favor,
6
7
     say aye or raise your hand.
8
                MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
9
     hand. The ayes have it. Thank you, Cindy.
10
11
                MS. CIESLAK: All right. So anyone who
     wasn't invited into executive session, I'm going to
12
     place you in the waiting room. When we reenter public
13
     session, you will come back in. Stay patient.
14
15
     you.
16
                (The commission was in executive session from
     9:22 a.m. until 9:30 a.m.)
17
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Attorney Krzys, you have
18
19
     the floor.
20
                MR. KRZYS: All right. Thank you, Mr.
21
     Chairman. Thanks to the trustees for allowing me to
22
     make a short statement today.
23
                This matter has been referred to the
     litigation and personal subcommittee on two occasions,
```

the last of which was for further consideration of the

24

legal issues, and now it's back in front of the full commission. It involves a claim under the state's attorney retirement system, which is a pension fund under the jurisdiction of your commission. It's sort of an orphan fund in the sense that it is purely the fund that has been established by legislative enactments going back to 1967.

When it first began in 1967, the state's attorneys and chief state's attorneys, as Mr. Colangelo was until he resigned in 2022, were entitled to a certain retirement benefit. It is set forth in 51-287(a), parens (a), which I think we can all agree is a rather confusing sentence, to say the least. However, in its simplest iteration, it talks about state's attorneys who have obtained age of 65 - or who obtain the age of 65 while serving as such attorney, and who has obtained the age of 60 and so elects - or who so elects, on attaining the age of 60, while so serving shall receive a retirement salary.

That was in 1967. So that at that point in time, if you were 60 and you resigned while serving in office, you got a full benefit. I think we can all agree that if this applicant had stayed until he was 60, he would get a full benefit. There wouldn't be any question. The question is, well, what about if you

left before 60.

Well, the legislature was approached with that in 1973. They passed the public act which said, well, if you left before 60, you could get an actuarial reduction, and that was the first time an actuarial reduction ever appeared in this fund, but you had to wait until you were 60 to receive a benefit. In 1978, again, they acted. They eliminated the requirement that you had to wait until age 60 to get your actuarially reduced benefit.

Since that time, as we've provided documentation, and I've served the trustees on the subcommittee and the full trustees now have seen, that applying subsection (a), which is the entitlement section, and then applying the later-enacted subsection (c), which is the actuarial reduction, the division has consistently reduced state's attorneys' pensions who retired before the age of - before the age of - from age 60.

So this applicant is the only retired state's attorney who has ever been reduced from age 65. I think that the dispositive ruling here should be that, while (a), subsection (a), is rather confusing, it does provide the full, normal, unreduced pension benefit for anyone who retires while in office at age 60, and that

```
subsection (c) provides that if you retire before your
1
2
     normal unreduced age, which in this case is 60, you
3
     were reduced from that normal pension being reduced
4
     from age 60.
                And that is simply the claim. It's simply a
5
     matter of statutory construction. It is somewhat
6
7
     complex because the statutory history and then the
     subsequent legislative history that's attached to it is
8
     voluminous, and as we can see, we've submitted 80 pages
9
     and then an additional 40 pages of record to show the
10
11
     legislative history and to show the statutory history.
                So I appreciate the time that you've given
12
          I know it's a full agenda. And that, in essence,
13
     is this claim. So I thank you for letting me speak.
14
15
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Thank you.
                How do you wish to proceed?
16
                MR. LUCIANO: I think we should go into
17
     executive session. It's Sal Luciano.
18
19
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: All right.
20
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. All in favor, say
21
     aye or raise your hand.
22
23
                MR. LUCIANO:
                             Aye.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
24
     hand. The ayes have it.
25
```

```
MS. CIESLAK: This is Cindy Cieslak.
1
2
     Luciano, Mr. Bailey, can you confirm that your motion
3
     includes an invitation to the previously named
     individuals to enter executive session?
4
                MR. LUCIANO: Yes.
5
                MR. BAILEY: Yes.
6
7
                MR. ROSE: And also that it includes the
8
     discussion of written legal opinion.
                MS. CIESLAK: Yes. Mike, it's on the agenda
9
     with written legal opinion.
10
11
                MR. ROSE: Okay, thank you.
                MS. CIESLAK: All right. Any - let's see.
12
     Attorney Krzys and any other quests here, I'm going to
13
     place you in the waiting room. And when we reenter
14
15
     public session, you will be invited back in.
                (The commission was in executive session from
16
     9:36 a.m. until 10:04 a.m.)
17
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. What's the motion,
18
19
     please?
20
                MR. CAREY: Mr. Chairman, this is Mike Carey.
     And I move that, consistent with the recommendation of
21
22
     the Legal & Personnel Subcommittee, that the commission
23
     deny Mr. Colangelo's appeal.
                MS. BUFFKIN: Second.
24
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Karen Buffkin. All in
25
```

```
favor, say aye - or A, discussion, first of all. Any
1
     further discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say
2
3
     aye or raise your hand. We have the rollcall. I'm
4
     sorry.
               MR. LUCIANO: Rollcall.
5
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: I apologize.
6
7
               MR. LUCIANO: No worries.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Yeah. Cindy, we have a
8
     rollcall, please.
9
10
               MS. CIESLAK: Sure. I'm going to go in
11
     alphabetical order by last name. Michael Bailey?
                MR. BAILEY: Nav.
12
               MS. CIESLAK: Karen Buffkin?
13
14
               MS. BUFFKIN: Yes.
15
               MS. CIESLAK: Michael Carey?
16
               MR. CAREY: Aye.
               MS. CIESLAK: Carl Chisem?
17
               MR. CHISEM: Nay.
18
19
               MS. CIESLAK: John DiSette?
20
               MR. DISETTE:
                             Nay.
21
               MS. CIESLAK: David Krayeski?
               MR. KRAYESKI: Yes.
22
23
               MS. CIESLAK: Sal Luciano?
               MR. LUCIANO: I abstain. I'm recusing
24
25
     myself.
```

```
MS. CIESLAK: Yep. Michael O'Brien?
1
2
                MR. O'BRIEN: I recuse myself as well.
3
               MS. CIESLAK: Claude Poulin?
4
               MR. POULIN: I recuse myself.
               MS. CIESLAK: Angel Quiros?
5
               MR. QUIROS: No.
6
7
               MS. CIESLAK: Tim Ryor?
               MR. RYOR: Yes.
8
               MS. CIESLAK: Rebecca Simonsen? It doesn't
9
     look like she's still here. I don't think Becky's here
10
11
     anymore.
                Okay. I believe those are all the voting
12
               Give me one second to tally.
13
     members.
               MR. LUCIANO: I think it's four-four.
14
               MS. CIESLAK: I think someone said four-four
15
16
     and that is accurate.
17
               MR. LUCIANO: I said it. Yep.
               MS. CIESLAK: Mr. Chairman?
18
19
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: I vote no.
20
               MS. CIESLAK: No in that you - no. Okay.
                                                            So
21
     the motion does not pass, five-to-four.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay.
22
23
               MR. LUCIANO: Motion to go into executive
     session for purposes of dealing with the remaining
24
     issues.
25
```

```
1
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
2
                MR. ROSE: With an amendment to include all
3
     the legal counsel as well?
                MS. CIESLAK: It will include Bruce Barth,
4
     Cindy Cieslak, Robert Helfand, John Herrington,
5
     Virginia McGarrity, Yam Menon, Colin Newman, Michael
6
7
     Rose, and Charlotte Moller.
8
                MR. LUCIANO: Yes, because they have
     information that will help address these.
9
                MS. CIESLAK: Mr. Chairman, we have to call
10
11
     the motion.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. All in favor, say
12
13
     aye.
14
                MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes
15
16
     have it.
                (The commission was in executive session from
17
     10:07 a.m. until 10:09 a.m.)
18
19
                MR. CAREY: Cindy, may I ask a matter of
     clarification?
20
21
                MS. CIESLAK: We are back in public session.
22
     This is Cindy.
23
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay.
24
                MR. LUCIANO: The reason we're back in public
     session is regarding the Colangelo case, there was a
25
```

```
1
     motion that did not pass. And so we need a motion in
2
     the affirmative for Mr. Colangelo.
                MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, this is Michael
3
     Bailey. I move that we accept the appeal and approve
4
     the age for reduction at age 60.
5
                MR. CHISEM: Carl Chisem, second.
6
7
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Any discussion?
                MR. LUCIANO: Just a point of clarification.
8
     Are we making the motion just regarding this person,
9
     Michael?
10
11
                MR. BAILEY: Yes. Yes.
                MR. LUCIANO: Would you like me to rephrase
12
     the motion then, that his appeal be granted and that's
13
     it?
14
15
                MR. BAILEY: The appeal granted for Mr.
     Colangelo?
16
                MS. CIESLAK: Limited to the facts of his
17
18
     case; correct?
19
                MR. BAILEY: Correct.
20
                MR. LUCIANO: I believe there was a second by
21
     Carl Chisem.
                MR. CHISEM: Yeah, I'm sorry. Second by
22
23
     Carl.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. All in favor, say
24
     aye or - we need a rollcall on this though; don't we?
25
```

```
1
                MR. LUCIANO: Yes, we do.
2
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Let's do a
3
     rollcall.
                MS. CIESLAK: Michael Bailey?
4
                MR. BAILEY: Yes.
5
               MS. CIESLAK: Karen Buffkin?
6
7
                MR. BAILEY: You're on mute, Karen.
                MR. DISETTE: Yeah, I don't think she heard
8
9
     you.
10
               MS. BUFFKIN: Sorry. Thank you. Nay.
11
                MS. CIESLAK: Michael Carey?
                MR. CAREY: Nay.
12
               MS. CIESLAK: Carl Chisem?
13
14
               MR. CHISEM: Yes.
                MS. CIESLAK: John DiSette?
15
16
               MR. DISETTE: Yes.
17
                MS. CIESLAK: David Krayeski?
                MR. KRAYESKI: No.
18
19
                MS. CIESLAK: Sal Luciano?
                MR. LUCIANO: I abstain. I recuse myself.
20
               MS. CIESLAK: Michael O'Brien?
21
               MR. O'BRIEN: I abstain and recuse myself.
22
23
               MS. CIESLAK: Claude Poulin?
                MR. BAILEY: You're on mute, Claude.
24
25
                MR. POULIN: I recuse myself.
```

```
1
                MS. CIESLAK: Angel Quiros?
2
                MR. QUIROS: Yes.
3
                ELECTRONIC VOICE: Recording in progress.
4
                MS. CIESLAK: Tim Ryor?
                MR. RYOR: No.
5
               MS. CIESLAK: I think - Becky Simonsen?
6
7
     (Inaudible) Becky Simonsen is still not here; correct?
8
     Okay.
                All right. We have four-to-four again.
9
     Chairman?
10
11
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: No.
                MR. LUCIANO: You just voted no, Mr.
12
     Chairman. So that means this motion fails as well.
13
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Let me rephrase that.
14
15
     Please read the motion one more time, please.
16
                MS. CIESLAK: The motion is to accept the
     appeal and approve the age for reduction for Mr.
17
     Colangelo at age 60, limited to the facts of this case.
18
19
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: So a vote in the
20
     affirmative grants the motion, I take it then.
21
               MS. CIESLAK: Correct.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Yeah, yeah. I'm going to
22
23
     change my motion - I'm going to change my vote. I am
     going to vote yes.
24
                MR. LUCIANO: Okay. Now I'd like to make a
```

1 motion that we go into executive session to finish our 2 business. 3 CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. 4 MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second. CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: All in favor, say aye or 5 raise your hand. Opposed, nay or raise your hand. The 6 7 ayes have it. MS. CIESLAK: For the record, Mr. Luciano and 8 Mr. Bailey, you are inviting the same people into 9 executive session that you previously invited; correct? 10 MR. BAILEY: Correct. 11 MR. LUCIANO: For the same - so that they can 12 help the trustees make the decisions. 13 14 MS. CIESLAK: Thank you. 15 (The commission was in executive session from 10:14 a.m. until 10:33 a.m.) 16 MR. LUCIANO: Regarding the Lessor (phonetic) 17 or Loeser case, I'd like to make a motion that we 18 19 recommend allowing her to go to the MEB for disability retirement. 20 21 MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second. CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing 22 23 none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand. Opposed, nay or raise your hand. The ayes have it, 24 unanimous. 25

1 Mudry, we need a motion. 2 MR. LUCIANO: I think there's a larger issue 3 that was mentioned by one of the trustees. But regarding Mudry, I'd like to make a recommendation that 4 we deny the request to go back to the MEB. 5 MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand. 8 MR. LUCIANO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your 10 11 hand. The ayes have it. MR. LUCIANO: Regarding Searles, I'd like to 12 make a motion that we send - because it was unclear 13 what they meant regarding comparable and because there 14 15 was some new information, I'd like to make a motion that we send Searles back to the MEB for clarification. 16 MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second. 17 CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing 18 19 none, all in favor, say aye or raise your hand. 20 MR. LUCIANO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your 21 hand. It's unanimous; the ayes have it. 22

MR. LUCIANO: Regarding the Wilson case, there was no information, but it was unclear whether the MEB said there was - they said there was no new

23

24

```
information, whether or not they actually received the
1
2
     information, or whether or not they did receive and it
3
     still felt there was - that it was not new information
     in terms of the decision. Because of the lack of
4
     clarity, I make a motion that we send the Wilson case
5
     back to the MEB, remand it for clarification.
6
7
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Okay. Any further
8
     discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say aye or
9
     raise your hand.
10
11
                MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
12
     hand. The ayes have it.
13
                MR. LUCIANO: I don't believe there's any
14
15
     further business for the commission.
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: That is correct.
16
                MR. LUCIANO: So then I believe a motion to
17
     adjourn is in order, and I so move.
18
19
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
20
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: All in favor, say aye or
     raise your hand.
21
22
                MR. LUCIANO: Aye.
23
                CHAIRMAN ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay or raise your
     hand. It's unanimous; the ayes have it.
24
```

Thank you all very much.

```
1
                 MR. LUCIANO: (Inaudible) Stay safe.
                 MS. CIESLAK: Thank you.
2
                 MS. BUFFKIN: Take care, everyone.
3
                  (Adjourned at 10:36 a.m.)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	I, Karin A. Empson, do hereby
6	certify that the preceding pages are an accurate
7	transcription of the Connecticut State Employees
8	Retirement Commission meeting held electronically via
9	Zoom, conducted at 9:02 a.m. on July 20, 2023.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Karin G. Empson
17	Karin A. Empson
18	
19	08/11/2023
20	Date
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	